

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Count I of Plaintiffs Complaint is based on negligent violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., (TCPA).
- 2. Count II of Plaintiffs Complaint is based on knowing and/or willful violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., (TCPA).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, *Mims* v. *Arrow Financial Services, LLC,* 132 S.Ct. 740 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA.
- 4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Clark County, in the city of Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 6. Defendant is a Virginia corporation, doing business in the State of Nevada, with its principal place of business located in McLean, Virginia.
- 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents employees, officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Defendant is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to

collect on alleged debts incurred through purchases made on credit issued by Defendant.

- 10. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiffs cellular telephone at phone number (702) 335-40XX.
- 11. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff from phone numbers including, but not limited to (800) 955-6600.
- 12. Per its prior business practices, Defendant's calls were placed with an automated telephone dialing system ("auto-dialer").
- 13. Defendant used an "automatic telephone dialing system", as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a) (1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, EMANUELLE JOCSON.
- 14. Defendant's calls constituted calls that were not for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 15. Defendant's calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 16. Defendant never received Plaintiffs "prior express consent" to receive calls using an automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on his cellular telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).
- 17. On or about September 8, 2017, Plaintiff called into Defendant's company at phone number (800) 955-6600 and spoke with Defendant's male representative and requested that Defendant cease calling Plaintiffs cellular phone.
- 18. During the conversation on September 8,2017, Plaintiff gave Defendant his social security number and date of birth to assist Defendant in accessing his account before asking Defendant to stop calling his cell phone.
- 19. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call his cellular telephone and/or to receive Defendant's calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in his conversation with Defendant's representative on

September 8, 2017.

- 20. Despite Plaintiffs request to cease, Defendant continued to place collection calls to Plaintiff after September 14, 2017.
- 21. Despite Plaintiffs request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls, Defendant placed at least one hundred and forty-seven (147) automated calls to Plaintiffs cell phone.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

(47 U.S.C. § 227)

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.
- 23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 24. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).
- 25. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT (47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.)

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-21.
- 27. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not

//

1	limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et
2	seq.
3	28. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47
4	U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory
5	damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47
6	U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
7	29. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such
8	conduct in the future.
9	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
10	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, EMANUELLE JOCSON, respectfully requests
11	judgment be entered against Defendant, CAPITAL ONE FINANCIAL CORP., for
12	the following:
13	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
14	30. For statutory damages of \$500.00 multiplied by the number of
15	negligent violations of the TCPA alleged herein (147); \$73,500.00;
16	31. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time
17	of trial;
18	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
19	32. For statutory damages of \$1,500.00 multiplied by the number of
20	knowing and/or willful violations of TCPA alleged herein (147); \$220, 500.00;
21	33. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time
22	of trial;
23	ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION
24	34. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time
25	of trial;
26	35. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;
27	36. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.
28	
ı	

1 Dated: June 6, 2018 Respectfully submitted, 2 /s/ Mathew K. Higbee 3 Mathew K. Higbee, Esq. 4 Nevada Bar No. 11158 HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES 5 1504 Brookhollow Dr., Ste 112 6 Santa Ana, CA 92705-5418 (714) 617-8350 7 (714) 597-6729 facsimile 8 Counsel for Plaintiff 9 /s/ Alyson Dykes 10 Alyson Dykes, Esq. Pro Hac Vice Pending 11 LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY 12 LOHMAN, P.C. 4740 Green River Rd., Ste 206 13 Corona, CA 92880 14 (866) 329-9217 Counsel for Plaintiff 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 1 Plaintiff, Emanuelle Jocson hereby demands a trial by jury in the above 2 3 captioned matter. 4 Dated: June 6, 2018 Respectfully submitted, 5 /s/ Mathew K. Higbee 6 Mathew K. Higbee, Esq. 7 Nevada Bar No. 11158 HIGBEE & ASSOCIATES 8 1504 Brookhollow Dr., Ste 112 9 Santa Ana, CA 92705-5418 (714) 617-8350 10 (714) 597-6729 facsimile 11 Counsel for Plaintiff 12 /s/ Alyson Dykes Alyson Dykes, Esq. 13 Pro Hac Vice Pending 14 LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY LOHMAN, P.C. 15 4740 Green River Rd., Ste 206 16 Corona, CA 92880 (866) 329-9217 17 Counsel for Plaintiff 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28